IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

STATE OF NEW MEXICO *ex rel*. State Engineer, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RAMON ARAGON, et al., Defendants.

69cv07941 BB-ACE Rio Chama Adjudication Section 7

Subfile Nos. CHRB-009-0001 CHRB-009-0021

ORDER

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the Motion to Withdraw (Doc. No. 7450, filed June 3, 2004, by Pierre Levy, of the Law Offices of Daniel J. O'Friel, Ltd.). The Court has reviewed the submissions of the parties and the relevant law. For the reasons set forth below, the Motion to Withdraw shall be DENIED.

Movant seeks to withdraw from the representation of seven parties in this matter. Movant has not complied with D.N.M.LR-Civ. 83.8(a) which states in relevant part "[t]he motion and proposed order must indicate consent of the client represented by the withdrawing attorney." The motion states only that Movant "attempted to confer with [the contact person for the parties]. No response to inquiries has been received."

Furthermore, the Rules of Professional Conduct adopted by the Supreme Court of the State of New Mexico apply to lawyers practicing in federal court in the District of New Mexico. D.N.M. LR-Civ. 83.9. The Rules of Professional Conduct permit a lawyer to withdraw from representing a client if withdrawal can be accomplished without material adverse effect on the interests of the client,

or if other good cause for withdrawal exists. N.M.R.A. 16-116.B. The State of New Mexico's

Response (Doc. No. 7468, filed June 11, 2004) indicates the parties represented by Movant may be

subject to entry of a Default Order upon their failure to sign and return a Consent Order or file an

Answer by July 15, 2004. Permitting Movant to withdraw a few weeks before such a deadline, where

the clients have not consented to and may not even have notice of the Motion to Withdraw, could

have material adverse effect on the interests of Movant's clients. Movant has not shown that other

good cause for withdrawal exists. Therefore, the Motion to Withdraw shall be denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

BRUCE D. BLACK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE